

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/972,760	10/05/2001	Akihiko Toyoshima	50P4257.03	4600	
36738 75	90 12/12/2006		. EXAM.	. EXAMINER	
ROGITZ & ASSOCIATES			NGUYEN, ST	NGUYEN, STEVEN H D	
750 B STREET	·				
SUITE 3120			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN DIEGO, O	CA 92101		2616		
			DATE MAN ED 10/10/000	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•				SK			
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/972,76	30	TOYOSHIMA, AK	KIHIKO			
		Examiner		Art Unit	T			
		Steven HD) Nguyen	2616				
	he MAILING DATE of this communi	cation appears on the	cover sheet wi	th the correspondence a	ddress			
WHICHE - Extension after SIX - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MANAGE AND THE MANAGE A	AILING DATE OF TH of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve unication. tutory period will apply and will will, by statute, cause the appli	HIS COMMUNIC ent, however, may a re Il expire SIX (6) MON lication to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this of the company o	•			
Status								
1)⊠ Re	esponsive to communication(s) filed	d on 14 November 20	206.					
·		b)☐ This action is no						
3) <u> </u>	3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	of Claims							
4)⊠ Cl: 4a) 5)□ Cl: 6)⊠ Cl: 7)□ Cl:	aim(s) <u>1-5,10,11,13,15,17-19 and 2</u> of the above claim(s) is/are aim(s) is/are allowed. aim(s) <u>1-5,10,11,13,15,17-19 and 2</u> aim(s) is/are objected to. aim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from cor 21 is/are rejected.	nsideration.		,			
Application	Papers							
9)∐ The	e specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)□ The	e drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b)[objected to I	by the Examiner.				
	plicant may not request that any objec			•	·			
	placement drawing sheet(s) including			· ·	• •			
11)∐ The	e oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. No	te the attached	Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
a)	Certified copies of the priority of Certified copies of the priority of	locuments have beer locuments have beer of the priority documental al Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Ap nts have been e 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National	Stage			
066		TOT A HOLOT LITE COLLIII	ied cohies HOLI	i GOGIVGU,				
					•			
Attachment(s)								
_ ``	References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)				
_	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT		Paper No(s))/Mail Date				
	on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) (s)/Mail Date		6) Other:	formal Patent Application				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 11 and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hanava (USP 5450471).

Regarding claims 1, 11 and 17, Hanava discloses a method and system for providing a multiple format wireless phone (Figs 6-7, 10, 11 and 15) comprising a wireless phone (Fig 6, Ref 1, Figs 7 and, Ref 11, Fig 11, Ref 730 and Fig 15, Ref 780) having a first wireless communication format (Analog or digital, first or second system, Col. 3, lines 9-13) configured to removably receive at least one wireless module (Fig 7, Ref 13, Fig 10, ref 13A, Fig 11, ref 710 and Fig 15, ref 760) having a second wireless communication format (Analog or digital, first or second system, Col. 3, lines 9-13) and said wireless module in electronic data communication with the wireless phone (Figs 7, 10-11 and 15, the telephones is coupled via electronic data communication), said wireless module configured to provide the second format to the phone (Analog or digital, first or second system, Col. 3, lines 9-13), wherein the module includes a mobile station identification number, said wireless phone configured to receive said mobile station identification number upon verification of proper operation of the module to facilitate use of a single phone number with both formats (Fig 6, Ref 9 and 10 used to verify the conditions of the portable telephone and transferring the conditions information and subscriber's number etc...

Art Unit: 2616

to the wireless phone; Fig 6, Ref 1, Figs 7 and, Ref 11, Fig 11, Ref 730 and Fig 15, Ref 780, so that the telephones can be use with the same telephone number, See col. 9, lines 48-50, col. 11, line 55 to col. 12, line 59).

Regarding claim 18, Hanava discloses operational data comprises at least one wireless format (Analog or digital, first or second system, Col. 3, lines 9-13).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2-5, 10, 13, 15, 19 and 21 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hanava in view of Metroka (USP 5249302).

Regarding claims 2-3, 13 and 21, Hanava fails to disclose providing at least one wireless module comprises utilizing at least one wireless format selected from the group consisting of CDMA ONE, CDMA 2000 1X, CDMA 2000 3X, CDMA 1X EV, Wideband CDMA, GSM, GPRS and EDGE. In the same field of endeavor, Metroka discloses a multiple mode telephone system herein a wireless module utilizing at least one wireless format selected from the group consisting of CDMA, TDMA "GSM" (Col. 12, lines 19-39).

Since, Hanava discloses a dual mode telephone system containing two formats such analog and digital. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to apply CDMA and TDMA format as disclosed by Metroka

Art Unit: 2616

into the system and method of Hanava. The motivation would have been to utilize of additional transmission capacity of cellular communication system.

Regarding claims 4-5, 10, 15 and 19, Hanava fails to disclose providing said wireless module with an electronic serial number for storing to wireless phone. In the same field of endeavor, Metroka discloses providing said wireless module with an electronic serial number for storing to wireless phone (Col. 14, line 62 to col. 15, Line 3 and col. 15, lines 32-38 and col. 17, lines 1-28).

Since, Hanava discloses a dual mode telephone system containing two formats such analog and digital wherein a wireless module provides a subscriber's number such as ID information to the wireless phone. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to apply a method and system for providing the radiotelephone serial number of the wireless module to the wireless telephone as disclosed by Metroka into the system and method of Hanava. The motivation would have been to utilize of additional transmission capacity of cellular communication system.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 11/14/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response pages 1-3, the applicant states that Hanava does not disclose storing the mobile station identification number to the wireless phone only upon determination that the wireless module provides proper operation in an intended area to facilitate communication using a common phone number with either format. In reply, Hanava clearly discloses storing the

Art Unit: 2616

Art Ollit. 2010

mobile identification number to the wireless phone upon determination that the wireless module provides proper operation in an intended area to facilitate communication using either format as showed in Fig 6, Ref 9 and 10 used to verifying if the wireless module works with intended area by identifying control channel, radio frequence for connection with the base stations. Then transferring the identifier number to the wireless telephone in order to allow wireless phone to facility a communication with the formats of the first and second system. (Fig 6, Fef 1, Figs 7 and., Ref 11, Fig 11, Ref 730 and Fig 15, Ref 780 and col. 9, lines 48-50 and col. 11, lines 55 to col. 12, lines 24, the identifying od detecting means used to check "verify" for conditions of the frequency and control channel of the portable phone and its base stations, then forwarding these conditions and mobile ID to the communication unit in order to allow the system use the same phone number in both format when the device roam from a first to second system. If the device can detect or identify communication conditions implying the device verified that it properly working in the area. Because, the device must exchange the information with the base station before determining if the device works in this area or not) as stated in claim 1. Furthermore, the applicant states that "changing formats" is not the same for changing the radio frequenc and control channel between the first company and second company such as analog and digital. In reply, "changing formats" is the same with changing from analog to digital or form first company to second company because it has different format. Therefore, changing format reads on changing from analog to digital or form first company to second company in the broadest term.

In resposse to page 3-4, the applicant states that the examiner inorges the limitation "only" in the claim (1) and using a single telephone number for both format (2). In reply, with

respect to (1) the examiner does not ignore this limitation. Havana clearly disclose if the commincation conditions between the portable and the intended area are not proper. Then, the portable device can not identify or detect the frequency and control channel. So, it is clearly reads on the term only. With respect to (2), Havana clearly shows a single number used for both formats (col. 11, lines 55 to col. 12, lines 59 and col. 13, line 59 to col. 14, line 3, a subsciber's number is used for both protable "first format" and automobile telephone "second format" of communication unit).

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven HD Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3159. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30.

Application/Control Number: 09/972,760 Page 7

Art Unit: 2616

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wellington Chin can be reached on (571) 272-3134. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Steven HD Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2616